

Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004

**Town and Country Planning (Local Development)
(England) Regulations 2004**

**Consultation Statement regarding the Draft
Recreation and Open Space
Supplementary Planning Document**

North East Derbyshire Development Framework

October 2007

Contents

Consultation on the draft SPD (31st May – 12th July 2007) – Regulation 17

Appendices:

- a) Consultation List**
- b) Consultation publicity**
Including a copy of the draft SPD leaflet and poster, Public Notice and Representation Form
- c) List of respondents to the draft SPD**
- d) Schedule of responses to representations made during consultation on the draft SPD**

Consultation on the draft Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document (31st May – 12th July 2007) – Regulation 17

1. The draft Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document was prepared taking account of the findings of the previous consultation, as set out in the pre-production consultation statement (May 2007), and was approved by the Council's Executive on 25th April 2007. The draft was subject to a statutory 6 week period of public consultation between 31st May and 12th July 2007.
2. Letters/emails were sent to all those consulted during the informal consultation in 2006, except those who indicated that they did not want to be contacted, and anyone subsequently registering an interest. Appendix a lists the consultees in detail. It also identifies who was sent a letter or an email (specific consultation bodies by their identified preference, interested parties by the availability of an email address, unless told otherwise). People were encouraged to use the standard representation form for submitting their comments. This form was enclosed with the letters or a link was provided with the email to the Council's website. The specific consultation bodies also received a full copy of the SPD and associated documents. The letters also asked people if they would be interested in attending a workshop session or focus group meeting to discuss the Supplementary Planning Document. Only one person expressed an interest.
3. The draft SPD, associated Sustainability Appraisal report, notice of SPD matters, background research documents, leaflets and representation forms were also available from the Council Offices on Saltergate Chesterfield, Local Libraries, and Area Housing Offices. This information was also available on the Council's website: www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk. The consultation was publicised through leaflets, posters and a public notice in the Derbyshire Times. See Appendix b for details. The leaflets and the poster were sent to the Deposit Venues and Parish Councils.
4. During the consultation period a total of 12 consultation responses were received. One additional form was received outside of the statutory period allowed for responses to be made. Appendix c lists the respondents to the draft Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document.
5. The representations were reported to the Council's Executive on the 12th September 2007 when it was resolved to approve the officer's comments and responses to each representation, set out in the schedule of representations, as the Council's formal response and to formally adopt the Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document to take effect on the 25th October 2007. The schedule at Appendix d includes the schedule of representations.
6. Of the 12 responses, the majority are positive and support the general principles established by the document. Some representations suggest changes to the document and it has been possible to accommodate many of these in the revised document. The changes to the Supplementary Planning Document include references to opportunities for biodiversity enhancement, the impact of light pollution and the inclusion of a publications list. The local recreation standard is also updated because of site omissions, however this only has an impact on the standards for outdoor sports facilities. Because no objections were raised against the principle of calculating the standard, the Council considered it

unnecessary to carry out further public consultation. The change made to the standard relates solely to “Outdoor Sports Facilities” and the revised standard, in full, is as follows:

	Standard at draft SPD stage	Adopted standard:
Green Space	1.95 ha/1000 population	1.95 ha/1000 population
Outdoor Sport Facilities	2.66 ha/1000 population	2.78 ha/1000 population
Children’s Play Spaces	0.09 ha/1000 population	0.09 ha/1000 population
Outdoor Youth Facilities	0.006 ha/1000 population	0.006 ha/1000 population

7. Letters and emails will be sent to all those consulted under Regulations 17, except those who indicated that they did not want to be contacted, and anyone subsequently registering an interest, to inform them about the adoption of the Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document. The SPD, associated documents, Consultation Statement and Adoption Statement will be available to view at the Council Offices on Saltergate Chesterfield, local libraries, Area Housing Offices, and the Council’s website.

Appendix a.

Consultation List

Interested Parties by Letter					
Prefix	First Name	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
			Haslam Homes	Antony Aspbury Associates	c/o Mr Mike Downes
			Kitewood Estates	Development Land & Planning Consultants Ltd	c/o Ms. K.Hulse
			Maximus	NAI Fuller Peiser	c/o Mr Glen Langham
			DAB Sight Support		
			Healthier Living Initiative		
Ms	J A	Ainsworth			
Mr	Brian	Bailey			
Mr & Mrs		Barker			
Mr & Mrs		Barrow			
Ms	Lynne	Blake	Eckington Environment & Tourism Working Party		
Mr	C F A	Bogie			
Mrs	J	Booth	Parish Council / Eckington Environment Group		
Mrs	V.	Bradbury	Hasland and St Leonards Community Forum Planning Sub Group		
Ms	Liz	Brown	CABE		
Ms	Amanda	Brudelle	Fusion Online Ltd		
Mr	Ian	Clarke			
Ms	Claire	Collison			
Mr	Christopher	Curzon			
Mr & Mrs		Davies			
Mr	G. E.	Davis	NED Tenants Association		
Mr	R	Dawson			

Interested Parties by Letter					
Prefix	First Name	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
	L	Drake	Clay Cross and District Handicap Club		
Ms	Lorraine	Dung	Danesmoor Tenants and Residents Group		
Ms	Heather	Fawbert	Fairplay		
Mr	Stephen	Fidler	Renishaw Forward Tenants and Residents Group		
Mr	David	Froggatt			
Mr	J A	Gascoigne			
Mrs	Maureen	Green			
Mr & Mrs	L E	Hanlon			
Mr & Mrs		Hardcastle	Dronfield Civic Society		
Mrs	Jean	Heathcote			
Mr	J.	Heathcote		W T Parker	c/o Mr. A. Foster
Ms	Linda	Henstock	North Wingfield Tenants and Residents Group		
Mr	Stephen	Hill			
Ms	Christine	Holmes	Eckington Focus Tenants and Residents Group		
Mr	Derek	Hunter			
Mr	Alan	Jess	Holmgate Tenants and Residents Group		
Mr	Jock	Keay	Dronfield & District Tenants and Residents Group		
Mr	Berwyn	Knight			
Mrs	Shirley	Little			
Mr	P J	Mansell			
Dr	Geoff	Mawson OBE	Sorby - Breck Ringing Group		
Mr & Mrs	J	McElvaney		ArchiPlan	c/o Mr.R.J.Ryder
Mr	R	Moxam			

Interested Parties by Letter					
Prefix	First Name	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
Ms	Rachel	Patterson	Devplan UK		
Mr	Jeremy	Pyatt	RPS Planning		
	J.W.	Roberts			
	E	Rotherham			
Mr	Adrian	Rowles	Chesterfield Gospel Trust		
Mrs	L E	Smith			
Mr	Keith	Stacey	Angel Estate Tenants and Residents Group		
Mrs	Cynthia Wendy	Stephens			
Mr & Mrs	B	Stoker			
Mr	G	Swift			
Mr	Mike	Thornborrow	Railway Paths Ltd.		
Ms	Jennifer	Walters	Barton Willmore Planning		
Mr	John L	Wearing	Dronfield and District Labour Party		
Mr	Martyn	West			
Mr		White	Derbyshire County Council		
Mr	David	Whitworth			
Mr & Mrs		Windle			
Ms	Sue	Byrne	Highways Agency		
Ms	Linda	McCormick	Dethic, Lea and Holloway Parish Council		
			Mobile Operators Association	Mono Consultants	c/o Carolyn Wilson
Mr	R	Bullimore	Balborough Parish Council		
Ms	Denise	Cameron	Clowne Parish Council		
Mr	David	Kee	Old Bolsover Town Council		

Interested Parties by Letter					
Prefix	First Name	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
Ms	Linda	McCormick	South Wingfield Parish Council		
			Central Networks plc	DTZ Piedad Consulting	c/o Mark Jackson

Specific Consultees by letter (for the purpose of this consultation)					
prefix	first name	sumame	Organisation	agent contact	agent
Mr	Derek	Stafford	Amber Valley Borough Council		
Mr	Peter	Rainford	Sheffield City Council		
Mr	Brian	Taylor	Peak District National Park Authority		
Dr	Peter	Carsfield	Derbyshire County Primary Care Trust		
Mr	G	Clarke	Bolsover District Council		
Mr	Peter	White	Derbyshire County Council, Planning		
Ms	Penny	Thorpe	Environment Agency		
Ms	Pamela	Roberts	Government Office for the East Midlands		
Mr	David	Joy	Derbyshire Sport		
Mr	Ben	Hunt	Sport England		
Mr	Raymond	Cole	National Playing Field Association		
Ms	Liz	Newman	Natural England		
Miss	Ann	Plackett	English Heritage		
Mr	Steve	Lee	CHART LSP		
Mrs	S	Atkinson	Ashover Parish Council		
Miss	A	Preston	Barlow Parish Council		
Mr	Mark	Robinson	Brackenfield Parish Council		

Specific Consultees by letter (for the purpose of this consultation)

prefix	first name	surname	Organisation	agent contact	agent
Mrs	E	Boswell	Brampton Parish Council		
Mrs	A	Marsh	Calow Parish Council		
Mrs	D	Kershaw	Clay Cross Parish Council		
Mr	Roy	Hunt	Dronfield Town Council		
Mr	Peter	Staniforth	Eckington Parish Council		
Mr	R	Ackrill	Grassmoor, Hasland & Winsick Parish Council		
Mrs	Kay	Steele	Heath & Holmewood Parish Council		
Mrs	E	Boswell	Holmesfield Parish Council		
Mrs	K	Brailsford	Holymoorside & Walton Parish Council		
Mr	Paul	Harding	Killamarsh Parish Council		
Mr	P	Goodwin	Morton Parish Council		
Ms	Susan	Wood	North Wingfield Parish Council		
Mr	D. R	Blore	Pilsley Parish Council		
Mr	M N	Johnson	Shirland & Higham Parish Council		
Mrs.	M	Gill	Stretton Parish Council		
Mr	P	Goodwin	Sutton-cum-Duckmanton Parish Council		
Mrs	S	Weeks	Temple Normanton Parish Council		
Mr	D J	Massey	Tupton Parish Council		
Mrs	P A	Mosley	Unstone Parish Council		
Miss	Shelly	Webster	Wessington Parish Council		
Mr	Mike	Taylor	Wingerworth Parish Council		

Interested Parties by Email

Prefix	FirstName	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
Mrs	Lynne	Booth		Ian Baseley Associates	c/o Mr.R.Fletcher
Mr	R	Tittcomb			
Mr & Mrs	P	Heston		Wilkins Vardy	c/o Mr. N.Hunt
Mr	Trevor	Taylor			
Mr	G L	Pugh			
Mr	James	Lidget			
Mr	D M	Corbridge			
Mr	John	Rouse			
Mr	D	Turner		Ian Baseley Associates	c/o Mr.R.Fletcher
Mr	C	Tuck		Ian Baseley Associates	c/o Mr.R.Fletcher
Ms	Anne Marie	Jenkins			
Mr	A G	Rowland		Ian Baseley Associates	c/o Mr.R.Fletcher
Mr & Mrs	L P	Fairs			
Cllr.	Kevin	Gillott			
Mr	John	Almond			
Mrs	Helen	Pickering	Ashton Plumbing and Heating		
Mr	Andrew	Hoffman	Aspin Consulting Ltd		
Mr	Rob	Edmunds	Ben Bailey Homes		
Mr	A. G	Harrop	British Horse Society		
Ms	Sian	Rees	CB Richard Ellis Limited		
			Central Networks plc	DTZ Piedad Consulting	c/o Mark Jackson
Mr	Steve	Lee	CHART LSP		
			Colin Rae Associates		

Interested Parties by Email					
Prefix	FirstName	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
Ms	Bridget	O'Hara	Derbyshire Coalition for Inclusive Living		
PC	Graham	Kelsey	Derbyshire Constabulary		
Mr	David	Joy	Derbyshire Sport		
Mr	N	Law	Derbyshire Wildlife Trust		
Mr	Roland	Bolton	Development Land and Planning Consultants		
Ms	Diane	Bowyer	DPDS Consulting Group		
Dr	Malcolm	Bell	Dr Malcolm Bell Ltd		
Mr	James	Jesson	Eckington Parish Footpaths and Bridleways Group		
Mr	Alan	Jess	Federation of North East Derbyshire tenants and residents		
Ms	Kay	Davies	Fisher German		
Mr	Austin	Brady	Forestry Commission		
Mr	Tim	Sander	Friends of the Earth		
Mr	John	King	Friends of the Peak District		
Mr	Phil	Jackson	Hallam Land Management Ltd		
Mr	Paul	Cronk	HBF (Home Builders Federation)		
Mrs	Joanna	Bagnall	High Peak Borough Council		
Mr	Ian	Hewitt	Ian Hewitt Associates		
Mr	Alastair	Willis	Indigo Planning Limited		
Ms	Gina	Groom	Killamarsh Tenants and Residents Group		
Mrs	Nicola	Worboys	Longhurst Group Ltd		
Mr	Bill	Wheatcroft	Mickley Tenants and Residents Group		
			Mobile Operators Association	Mono Consultants Limited	c/o Carolyn Wilson
Mr	Raymond	Cole	National Playing Fields Association		

Interested Parties by Email					
Prefix	FirstName	Surname	Organisation	Agent- organisation	Agent contact (c/o)
Mr	Paul	Stock	North Country Homes Group Limited		
Mr	Nigel	Harris	Pegasus Planning Group		
Mr	Haydn	Jones	Pegasus Planning Group		
			Persimmon Homes	Development Land & Planning Consultants Ltd.	c/o Mr.Jim Lomas
Mr	Peter	Wigglesworth	Peter Wigglesworth Planning LTD		
Mr	Philip	Baringer	Purearth plc		
Ms	Catherine	Money	RPS		
Mr	Simon	Smith	Smith Stuart Reynolds		
Mr	Ben	Hunt	Sport England		
Ms	Laura	Ross	Stewart Ross Associates		
Ms	Jill	Langley	Sure Start - North East Derbyshire Local Programme		
			Tesco Stores Ltd.	Development Planning Partnership	c/o Ms.Hanna Hague
Ms	Judi	Scholey	The Development Planning Partnership		
Mr	Alan	Hubbard	The National Trust		
Mr	Dennis	Ransby	The Ramblers Association		
Mr	Dave	Radford	The Volunteer Centre		
Ms	Fran	Hitchinson	The Woodland Trust		
Ms	Gemma	Gregory	Three Valleys Project		
Mr	Justin	Reid	UK Coal Mining Ltd		
Ms	Annette	Elliott	United Co-operatives Ltd		
Mr	K	Grayson	WCEC Architects	Ian Baseley Associates	c/o Mr.R.Fletcher
Mrs	Helen	Pails	Woodview Residents Group		

Specific Consultees by Email					
prefix	first name	surname	Organisation	Agent-organisation	Agent contact
Mr	Derek	Stafford	Amber Valley Borough Council		
Mrs	R	Bullimore	Balborough Parish Council		
Mr	G	Clarke	Bolsover District Council		
Mr	Richard	Bryant	Chesterfield Borough Council		
Mr	Peter	White	Derbyshire County Council		
Mr	Mike	Hase	Derbyshire Dales District Council		
Mr	Andrew	Pritchard	East Midlands Regional Assembly		
Ms	Penny	Thorpe	Environment Agency		
Ms	Pamela	Roberts	Government Office for the East Midlands		
Ms	Sue	Byrne	Highways Agency		
Ms	Natasha	Engel MP	Member of Parliament		
Mr	Dan	Abrahams	Natural England		
Ms	Cass	Worman	Natural England		
Mr	Brian	Taylor	Peak District National Park Authority		
Mr	Phil	Turnidge	Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council		
Mr	Peter	Rainford	Sheffield City Council		
All NEDC Councillors					

Appendix b.

Consultation Publicity

Poster (printed in A4 format) and Leaflet (printed in A5 format)

Want to know about Planning for recreation facilities
and open spaces?

DRAFT RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT

North East Derbyshire Development Framework
May 2007

Have a look and tell us what you think!

You can view the draft Supplementary Planning Document and pick up a comments form at: **Please send your completed comments form to:**

- The Council Offices on Saltergate, Chesterfield
- Libraries: Chesterfield Central, Clay Cross, Dronfield, Eckington, Holmewood, Killamarsh, Wingerworth and the Mobile service during normal library opening hours.
- District Council Area Housing Offices: Clay Cross, Dronfield, Eckington, Killamarsh and North Wingfield
- Council's Website:
www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk

The LDF Team, Forward Planning, North East Derbyshire District Council, Council House, Saltergate, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, S40 1LF

By Email:

LDFteam@ne-derbyshire.gov.uk

By Fax: 01246 217446

If you would like more information about the Supplementary Planning Document, please write or email us at the above address or telephone 01246 217169

All comments should be received by 5pm on 12th July 2007

Public Notice in Derbyshire Times (31/05/2007):

sal: Single storey rear lean-to extension and
 on to existing store roof
 on: Victoria Cottage, School Lane, Baslow
ation No: NP/DDD/0507/0420
 n for Advertising: This site lies within
 sage Conservation Area
 int Name: Mr S Richardson
 ial: Change of use of shop to cafe and florist,
 icony at first floor
 on: Hood Brook Cottage, Station Road,
 sage
ation No: NP/DDD/0507/0442
 for Advertising: This building is listed as
 of architectural interest and lies within Eyam
 vation Area
 for Advertising: Standard Reply for
 our Consultations 21 Days
 nt Name: Richard Taylor
 el: Conversion of attached garage to living
 & erection of detached garage
 n: Sabine Hay, Townhead, Eyam
ation No: NP/DDD/0507/0446
 for Advertising: This site lies within Tideswell
 vation Area
 for Advertising: Standard Reply for
 our Consultations 21 Days
 nt Name: Mr & Mrs A Wilson
 el: Erection of garage & study adjacent to
 ie cottage & erection of retaining wall
 : Woodbine Cottage, Buxton Road, Tideswell
ation No: NP/DDD/0507/0447
 for Advertising: This site lies within Stoney
 n Conservation Area
 for Advertising: Standard Reply for
 our Consultations 21 Days
 nt Name: Mr & Mrs M Hyrman
 el: Convert utility flat roof into a monopitch
 move wall between kitchen and utility to form
 n.
 : Mill Cottage, Mill Street, Stoney Middleton
ation No: NP/DDD/0507/0451
 for Advertising: This site lies within Bakewell
 vation Area
 for Advertising: Standard Reply for
 our Consultations 21 Days
 nt Name: Mr & Mrs Kay
 el: Two storey domestic extension
 1 Personage Terrace, Stanedge Road
ation No: NP/DDD/0507/0456
 for Advertising: This site lies within Curbar
 vation Area
 Name: Mr & Mrs S Smith
 el: New entrance porch and toilet
 Lychgates, Pinfold Hill, Curbar
ation No: NP/HPK/0507/0415
 for Advertising: This site lies within Thornhill
 vation Area
 for Advertising: Standard Reply for
 our Consultations 21 Days
 Name: Mrs C Rayner
 el: Conversion of stores to holiday
 ation and conversion of hay loft to fitness
 nes room
 Valley View Farm, Thornhill, Bamford

North East Derbyshire District Council
Planning & Compulsory Purchase Act 2004
Notice of Supplementary Planning Document Matters
North East Derbyshire Development Framework
Draft Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document
(May 2007)

North East Derbyshire District Council has prepared a draft of the above document. As part of the process of developing this document the Council is actively seeking comments on the draft document. Details of what the document covers, when and how representations should be made and where the document can be viewed are set out below.

Notice of the Draft Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document matters	
Title of the SPD	Draft Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document
Subject matter & area covered by the SPD	The Supplementary Planning Document provides guidance regarding the North East Derbyshire local recreation standard and explains the Council's requirements for the provision of recreation facilities and open spaces in new developments. It is accompanied by a related Sustainability Appraisal Report, which details the likely environmental, social and economic effects of the Supplementary Planning Document. The geographical extent of the coverage of the Supplementary Planning Document coincides with the local planning authority area of North East Derbyshire. This covers the whole of the District with the exception of the western fringe of the District, which falls within the Peak District National Park.
The period within which representations on the proposals matters can be made:	Six weeks from Thursday 31st May 2007 to 5.00pm Thursday 12th July 2007
The address to which representations should be sent. <i>(The Council encourages the use of a standard comments form for all representations, forms are available from the locations listed below).</i>	By Post or by hand to: The LDF Team, Forward Planning, North East Derbyshire District Council, Council House, Salfergate, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, S40 1LF By Email: LDFTeam@ne-derbyshire.gov.uk By Fax: 01246 217446 Forms can also be completed online: www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk
Any representations made may be accompanied by a request to be notified at a specific address of the adoption of the Recreation and Open Space Supplementary Planning Document.	
The places and times at which documents can be inspected:	
Copies of the Draft Supplementary Planning Document (together with supporting background documents) are available for public inspection at: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Council House, Salfergate, Chesterfield, Derbyshire S40 1LF (Monday to Thursday from 9.30am to 5pm and Fridays from 8.30am to 4.30pm); • District Council Area Housing Offices at Clay Cross, Dronfield, Eckington, Killamarsh and North Wingfield (Monday to Thursday from 8.30am to 5pm and Fridays from 8.30am to 4.30pm); and • the following libraries: Chesterfield Central, Clay Cross, Dronfield, Eckington, Holmewood, Killamarsh, Wingerworth and the Mobile service during normal library opening hours. • Council's Website: www.ne-derbyshire.gov.uk	
Further information is available from the LDF Team, tel: 01245 217169	

North East Derbyshire Development Framework



Draft 'Recreation and Open Space' Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) May 2007 Representation Form

Ref:

(For official use only)

Notes are provided throughout this form to help you complete the relevant sections. If you need further advice please contact the LDF Team using the contact details given below.

Please take the opportunity to read the full document before filling in this short form and returning it to: **The LDF Team, Forward Planning, North East Derbyshire District Council, Council House, Saltergate, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, S40 1LF** or by email to ldfteam@ne-derbyshire.gov.uk to be received no later than 5pm on Thursday 12th July 2007.

Please note that all comments received will be available for public inspection.

1. Personal Details	2. Agents Details (if applicable)
Title	
First Name	
Last Name	
Job Title (where relevant)	
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address Line 1	
Line 2	
Line 3	
Line 4	
Post Code	
Telephone Number	
E-mail Address (where relevant)	

Notes: Please ensure that you provide us with your contact details so we can let you know the Council's response to your comments and keep you informed about the adoption of the Supplementary Planning Document

The next part of this form provides you with space to comment on the Draft Supplementary Planning Document (SPD). To help you think about some of the issues that might be relevant when completing this form, we have posed some issues that you may wish to consider. They include:

- the format of the SPD
- the amount of detail
- whether anything is missing
- whether the sections are set out clearly
- the SPD's overall objectives
- relevant national, regional and local policy documents
- the recreation and open space standard
- guidance on protecting existing facilities
- clarity of the flowcharts
- how the need for recreation space is determined
- what contributions an applicant must make
- design requirements for the facilities

Please do not feel that you are restricted to addressing only the issues suggested

Notes: Where your comments relate to a particular paragraph in the document, please state this clearly in the column provided.

Notes: Please clearly identify each separate comment.

3. Your Comments:

Paragraph No (where relevant)	Your comments should state clearly the grounds on which they are made. Where relevant please explain what changes we can make to the document to meet your concerns.

Continue.....

Paragraph No (where relevant)	Your comments should state clearly the grounds on which they are made. Where relevant please explain what changes we can make to the document to meet your concerns.

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Note: To help us monitor the effectiveness of our consultation methods and whether we are reaching all parts of the community it would be helpful if you could complete the following questions. Please insert a ✓ where appropriate.

4. Monitoring

How/where did you hear about the Supplementary Planning Document?

Direct Mail/letter	<input type="checkbox"/>	Email	<input type="checkbox"/>	Council's Web Site	<input type="checkbox"/>
Council Offices	<input type="checkbox"/>	Poster	<input type="checkbox"/>	Leaflet	<input type="checkbox"/>
Neighbourhood Partnership	<input type="checkbox"/>	Word of Mouth	<input type="checkbox"/>		

5. Respondent Profile

Sex: Male Female

Age Group: Under 25 45-54

25-34 55-64

35-44 Over 65

Ethnic Group: White Black or Black British

Asian or Asian British Mixed

Other Ethnic Group

(please specify)

Note: As part of our commitment to keeping you informed and providing feedback, we will notify you of the Council's response to your comments. Please ensure that you have completed your contact details at Parts 1 & 2 of this form. Where an Agents details are given, we will direct all subsequent correspondence to the agent, unless told otherwise.

6. Feedback

Do you wish to be kept informed of the adoption of the Supplementary Planning Document? Yes/No

Would you like us to keep your contact details so we can inform you about consultation on other Planning Policy documents in the future? Yes/No*

If at any time you would like us to remove your contact details from our mailing list, please notify us in writing or by e-mail.

Signature: Date:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this form, your views are important to us. Please ensure that you submit this form so that it is received by the Council by 5pm on 12th July 2007 (see Page 1 for address details).

Appendix c.

List of Respondents

List of respondents to draft SPD

Pamela Roberts, Government Office for the East Midlands
Darren Horn, East Midlands Regional Assembly
Reuben Brown, Derbyshire County Council - Highways
Ian Goldstraw, Derbyshire County Council - Planning
Noel Bell, Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council
Ann Plackett, English Heritage
Penny Thorpe, Environment Agency
Geoff Wise, Highways Agency
Tony Aitchison, Sport England*
Alan Hubbard, The National Trust
Linda Henstock, Director Rykneld, Fed member group chair
Brian Bailey
Trevor Taylor

* Late Representation

Appendix d.

Schedule of Representations

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 02 Geoff Wise - Highway Agency

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
23	Entire document	Respondent thanks the Council for consulting them on the draft SPD and do not wish to make any comments.	Noted	No Change

Respondent: 03 Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
26	Entire document	The SPD needs to explain more clearly how it relates to existing policy on 'green space' provision, existing guidance produced by the district council and others, and links to other initiatives such as Green Infrastructure (GI) and Sustainable Drainage (SuDS) promoted in both national and regional planning policy and guidance. The SPD should seek to deliver something 'over and above' what existing guidance documents are advocating. There are good reference documents that should be referred to including 'Active Design' by Sport England (http://www.sportengland.org/planning_active_design) and publications by CABE (www.cabe.org.uk).	Section 2 of the SPD sets out how the SPD links with national, regional and local policy on recreation and open space provision. The SPD also refers to drainage and green infrastructure in section 7, for instance paragraphs 7.11, 7.18 and 7.25. The Environment Agency supports the SPD. Publications by CABE and Sport England have been studied and relevant ideas included in section 7 of the SPD. The SPD seeks to deliver over and above existing guidance in terms of the standard. The SPD includes a local standard reflecting local needs, rather than use the National Playing Fields Association Standard. Including a publications list would however benefit the SPD.	Add a new Appendix to include a publications list of guidance documents used to inform the Supplementary Planning Document.

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 03 Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning

Representation number:	Relating to Section:	Summary representation:	Officer response:	Recommendation:
27	Entire document	In general terms the SPD lacks a strategic overview as to what resources already exist and where further open space provision is required and of what type (see 'Assessing Needs & Opportunities: A Companion Guide to Planning Policy Guidance Note 17'). This is highlighted in the introduction- Paragraph 1.5 where there is a fairly simplistic means of calculating the thresholds that will trigger the requirement for green space provision. Although a Local Recreation Standard (LRS) has been produced by the District Council it is not clear how this report relates to the SPD and how it will inform green space provision. For example, it is not clear how the LRS will be used in the flowcharts shown in Chapter 5. A spatial map or link to the council website may help in this respect.	The Recreation Research Report, consisting of a main report and 25 individual ward reports, includes all relevant information about the existing situation for recreation and open space provision in North East Derbyshire. The flowcharts link to the relevant ward reports, which use the Local Recreation Standard to calculate whether there is a deficit or surplus of recreation facilities and open space, and where there is a deficit, how much this is. The explanation to the flowcharts clearly set out in which section of the ward report to look at. The draft SPD, Sustainability Appraisal, Main Recreation Research Report and all 25 ward reports can all be found on the Council's website. The means of calculating the thresholds that will trigger a requirement for recreation and open space provision is linked to the Local Plan and as the SPD supplements the North East Derbyshire Local Plan policies, the thresholds in the SPD cannot be different from the thresholds in the Local Plan.	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 03 Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
28	Section 7	The document does not appear to aspire to anything beyond the norm, where green space provision is seen as little more than an arbitrary addition to development to meet a minimum standard. The detailed design guidance in Chapter 7 often seems contradictory (e.g. potential design conflicts presented in paragraphs 7.6 and 7.16/7.17; vague (eg. Benches should be 'plentiful'- para 7.12); repetitive or prescriptive (eg. Amenity planting. should provide an interest and colour all year round) making it difficult for developers to understand what will be acceptable or not. Ideally the SPD should be aspirational, focusing on design standards and leaving the detailed interpretation of these standards to an urban designer/landscape architect. The aim should be to produce well designed, high quality and linked open spaces that make a positive contribution to the urban environment, the wider setting and other environmental agendas. There needs to be clear reference to other appropriate publications within this section, e.g. The Landscape Character of Derbyshire.	Disagree with the statement that the document does not aspire to anything beyond the norm. This is the first SPD on Recreation and Open Space which has been prepared by this Council. It sets out a Local Standard, taking into account local aspirations, rather than relying on the National Playing Fields Association Standard. In terms of the design guidance, this section has been reviewed by the Council's Urban Design officer and it is considered that the SPD strikes a good balance between aspiration and a detailed level of information for developers to use. The document is designed to cater for a broad range of interests and is not specifically focussed at urban design / landscape professionals. The SPD would benefit from the inclusion of a reference to the Greenprint. Including a publications list would also benefit the SPD.	Add to the end of para 7.4: 'The types of wildlife and habitats which would be preferable in these locations can be identified in the Greenprint.' Add a new Appendix to include a publications list of guidance documents used to inform the Supplementary Planning Document.

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 03 Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
29	Section 7	Section 7 becomes a little repetitive in the way it is structured because many themes are relevant to as many types of open space. For example, safety and 'being seen' is an issue for all types of open space so it may be better dealt with as a single overarching theme. Other common themes might include lighting, access, furniture, landscaping, etc. This type of approach would help to create integrated, linked and multi-functional open space.	Comment is acknowledged. However, the situation is slightly different for each of the four types of recreation facility or open space.	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent:	03	Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning		
Representation number:	Relating to Section:	Summary representation:	Officer response:	Recommendation:
30	Entire document	<p>All local authorities now have a duty under Section 28G(2) of Countryside Rights of Way Act 2001 'to take reasonable steps consistent with the proper exercise of the authority's functions, to further the conservation and enhancement of the flora, fauna or geological or physiological features by reason of which the site is of special scientific interest'.</p> <p>The SPD should provide an opportunity for the local authority to 'further the conservation of biodiversity'. Some of the open spaces may be Local Wildlife Sites and thus have recognised biodiversity value. Other sites may have the potential to be of interest if their management was either changed or enhanced.</p>	<p>Paragraphs 7.15 to 7.18 include opportunities to enhance biodiversity, but more reference could be made in paragraph 7.4.</p>	<p>Para 7.4, include at end of paragraph: "In creating new green spaces there will be opportunities to enhance their wildlife value, for example by ensuring the use of native species, reinforcing and creating wildlife corridors and providing areas where lower levels of management are appropriate; the Council will seek to ensure that such opportunities are fully taken up."</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 03 Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
31	Entire document	<p>There appears to be no mention of the role of statutory Local Nature Reserves (LNRs) and the contribution which these can make to the provision of open spaces and places where the public enjoy wildlife. Neither is there any guidance on the appropriate habitat types which should be encouraged for development or conservation and enhancement, or a strong preference for native species tree and shrub planting emphasised. The North East Derbyshire Greenprint should be referred to, to provide guidance on the appropriate priority habitats for creation within open spaces and the species which should be catered for within the district.</p> <p>Biodiversity is mentioned as one of the objectives of the SPD. Natural England, previously English Nature, have set standards for the provision of LNRs and the document should refer to these for guidance.</p>	<p>The scope of the SPD is on formal provision and informal open spaces within the settlements. It is not considered that Local Nature Reserves are within the scope of the SPD. However, it is considered that the SPD would benefit from the inclusion of a reference to the Greenprint.</p>	<p>Add to the end of para 7.4: 'The types of wildlife and habitats which would be preferable in these locations can be identified in the Greenprint.'</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: **03** **Ian Goldstraw - Derbyshire County Council - Planning**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
32	Entire document	<p>The SPD needs to emphasise the role of developers in the provision of LNRs, including that of identifying land over which they have control, but for which they would be prepared to enter into a legal agreement with the authority ensuring the site is managed primarily for nature conservation in the future, and which can therefore be designated as an LNR.</p> <p>The types of habitat which would be preferable in such locations can be identified by reference to the Greenprint.</p>	<p>The scope of the SPD is on formal provision and informal open spaces within the settlements. It is not considered that Local Nature Reserves are within the scope of the SPD. However, it is considered that the SPD would benefit from the inclusion of a reference to the Greenprint.</p>	<p>Add to the end of para 7.4: 'The types of wildlife and habitats which would be preferable in these locations can be identified in the Greenprint.'</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 08 Darren Horn - East Midlands Regional Assembly

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
01	Entire document	Respondent has noted the inclusion of their comments to SPD Scoping Document. In light of the Draft Regional Plan (adoption expected early 2008), the respondent trusts that the following information is helpful: - Policy 1 (Regional Core Objectives) has a number of aims which seek to address various issues including social exclusion, community facilities, protection and enhancement of environmental quality of urban and rural settlements, access to leisure and recreation facilities, biodiversity, etc; - Policy 8 (regeneration of the Northern Sub-area) seeks to promote environmental enhancement as a vital part of regeneration; - Policies 26 and 27 promote the protection and enhancement of the region's natural and cultural assets and outline priorities for environmental and green infrastructure; There may be scope in the SPD to increase the biodiversity of the open spaces (Policy 28); Policy 30 covers the priorities for the management and enhancement of the Region's Landscape; The regional priorities for culture, sport and recreation are covered in policy 40.	The SPD aims to link in with the relevant RSS objectives to protect and enhance the district's recreational and natural assets, promote green infrastructure and increase biodiversity.	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: **09** **Pamela Roberts - Government Office for the East Midlands**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
24	Entire document	Respondent thanks Council for consulting them on the draft SPD. It is noted that the document is scheduled in the Local Development Scheme and that it supplements the policies within the Local Plan. Respondent has no comments to make on the content.	Noted	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 10 Ann Plackett - English Heritage

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
33	Entire document	<p>Thanks the Council for our letter of 31 May 2007 inviting comments on these documents. Respondent has no comments to make on the draft SPD.</p> <p>Owing to the volume of work that is being generated by the introduction of Strategic Environmental Assessment in this Region, the respondent is finding it necessary to prioritise which consultations we are able to respond to. Respondent is endeavouring to respond to consultations where we consider that there are significant environmental effects on the historic environment.</p> <p>Although no response has been provided at this stage, this does not reflect the respondents obligation to advise the Council on, and potentially object to, any specific development proposal which may subsequently arise from this or later versions of the document which is the subject of the consultation, and which may, despite the SA, have adverse effects on the historic environment.</p>	Noted	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 18 Brian Bailey

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
02	Main report 2.6	Allotments (Wingerworth) has 4 acres of these since 1982? Because of its location this site is 1 to 2 miles away from some residents.	Due to an information gap on allotments, walking distance catchment areas for allotments have not been drawn up. Further study is needed and will be included in a subsequent document.	No Change
03	Recreation Research Report, summary of wards result	There is no mention of the allotments on Watson Lane, which have been there for 25 years.	These allotments have been included within the Brampton and Walton ward, because they fall just outside Wingerworth ward.	No Change
04	Site Ref	Deerlands Road adjacent to Lido at the rear of 57/77 there was a children's play area?	This site does currently not contain any children's play facilities at all and can therefore only be noted as a green space. The Recreation Research Report for Wingerworth will be amended to include the site as green space. The inclusion of the site does however not make a difference to the local recreation standard, because of its small size compared to the overall amount of green spaces in the district.	Include the green space in the Wingerworth Ward Recreation Research Report.

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 24 Alan Hubbard - The National Trust

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
17	Entire document	Generally the respondent supports the production of this SPD and is grateful for the consideration that has been given to the comments made at the scoping stage. However, the respondent does remain disappointed that a stronger link has not been made to the role of open spaces and recreation areas in providing for wildlife and encouraging proposals that will assist in addressing the need to secure a step change in the level of biodiversity in the Region in accordance with RSS.	Support Noted. The draft SPD acknowledges the opportunities of green spaces and other recreation facilities for enhancing biodiversity. The respondents comments, as set out below will emphasise this even further.	No Change
18	Para 1.4	Respondent is pleased to note the inclusion of reference to the 'wider landscape' in Objective 5 in accordance with the response to its comments upon the Scoping Report.	Support Noted	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: **24** **Alan Hubbard - The National Trust**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
19	Para 7.4	<p>This is an important introductory statement and the respondents especially welcomes the consideration that is given to 'local distinctiveness', and the need to reinforce it, in this paragraph. Green spaces are important for the setting that they provide for the surrounding area, especially where this is built up.</p> <p>The final sentence in this paragraph relating to biodiversity is helpful in ensuring that existing biodiversity is not diminished; however, it fails to recognise that the multi-functionality of green spaces can be improved by enhancing biodiversity. For example, the use of native plant species will often be more beneficial for wildlife than exotic plants - rhododendrons for instance are especially limited in the wildlife that they support. It is suggested that an additional sentence is added to this paragraph as follows:</p> <p>"In creating new green spaces there will be opportunities to enhance their wildlife value, for example by ensuring the use of native species, reinforcing and creating wildlife corridors and providing areas where lower levels of management can be tolerated; the Council will seek to ensure that such opportunities are fully taken up."</p>	<p>Paragraphs 7.15 to 7.18 include opportunities to enhance biodiversity, but more reference could be made in paragraph 7.4.</p>	<p>Para 7.4, include at end of paragraph: "In creating new green spaces there will be opportunities to enhance their wildlife value, for example by ensuring the use of native species, reinforcing and creating wildlife corridors and providing areas where lower levels of management are appropriate; the Council will seek to ensure that such opportunities are fully taken up."</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 24 Alan Hubbard - The National Trust

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
20	Para 7.14, 7.28, 7.44 and 7.58	<p>Although the comments in these paragraphs on lighting make reference to the need to take account of light pollution it is considered that more positive advice can, and should, be given. It is suggested that the following addition is made to each paragraph:</p> <p>"However, light pollution should be taken into account with particular attention to the use of downlighting (rather than uplighting), the use of cut offs and baffles to reduce light spillage and ensuring that the intensity of the light levels produced are the minimum necessary to meet the requirements of the particular situation."</p>	<p>Agree, more positive and detailed advice should be given in relation to light pollution.</p>	<p>Paras 7.14, 7.28, 7.44 and 7.58: Replace the sentence "light pollution should be taken into account." with "light pollution should be taken into account with particular attention to the use of downlighting (rather than uplighting), the use of cut offs and baffles to reduce light spillage and ensuring that the intensity of the light levels produced are the minimum necessary to meet the requirements of the particular situation."</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent:	24	Alan Hubbard - The National Trust		
<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
21	Para 7.18	<p>This paragraph is helpful in identifying that there are biodiversity implications in respect of linkages but it is considered that it could be more explicit in respect of the role of wildlife corridors. For example, the first sentence could usefully be amended to read:</p> <p>"Applicants are encouraged to create links between existing green spaces and new green spaces that provide a green corridor for walking and cycling and create a wildlife corridor linking habitats and providing foraging areas that will enhance biodiversity."</p>	<p>Agree, paragraph 7.18 could be more explicit</p>	<p>Para 7.18, replace the first sentence "Applicants are encouraged to create links between existing green spaces and new green spaces to enhance biodiversity and provide a green corridor for walking and cycling." with: "Applicants are encouraged to create links between existing green spaces and new green spaces that provide a green corridor for walking and cycling and create a wildlife corridor linking habitats and providing foraging areas that will enhance biodiversity."</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 24 Alan Hubbard - The National Trust

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
22	Para 7.19 to 7.30	<p>Outdoor sports facilities provide significant opportunities to improve biodiversity as there will invariably be opportunities to provide planting along boundaries and to broaden this out in corners and other areas where sports activities will not be taking place. Often the pitches themselves will have very limited wildlife value as they are highly maintained and regularly treated with chemicals (or indeed on occasions have entirely barren artificial surfaces). It is therefore essential that other biodiversity opportunities are taken. It is suggested that the following is added at the end of para 7.19:</p> <p>"As part of the design of outdoor sports facilities the Council will expect to see opportunities taken to enhance biodiversity, for example by the use of boundary planting (such as native hedgerows) and the creation of 'wilderness' areas that will encourage wildlife."</p>	<p>The opportunity of sport facility boundaries for enhancing biodiversity is acknowledged. The Council normally already plants native hedgerows as boundary planting. Experience shows however that maintaining further wild flora around the boundary is very labour intensive in terms of keeping out weeds and preventing more invasive species to overtake. These areas are also often subject to fly tipping. The Council encourages the use of boundary planting, such as hedgerows, however 'wilderness' areas are considered less favourable around sport facilities because of the maintenance issues.</p>	<p>Para 7.19, add to end of paragraph: "As part of the design of outdoor sports facilities the Council will expect to see opportunities taken to enhance biodiversity by the use of boundary planting (such as native hedgerows)."</p>

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 35 Noel Bell - Rotherham Metropolitan Borough Council

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
12	Entire document	Respondent thanks the Council for sending the information relating to the SPD and has no comments to make.	Noted	No Change

Respondent: 41 Penny Thorpe - Environment Agency

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
25	Entire document and Sustainability Appraisal	Respondent thanks the Council for providing the opportunity to review the SPD. Respondent is please to see that Flood Risk has been included in the sustainability appraisal. The SPD should be further assisted by the forthcoming Strategic Flood Risk Assessment being developed by the Council.	Noted	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent:	46	Linda Henstock	Director Rykneld, Fed member group chair	
<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
05	Para 2.3	Paragraph states decent homes and affordable for all. Depends on what affordable really means. What is affordable for some becomes unaffordable to others. By mixed communities do you mean a mixture of private owned, private rent and Council rent properties? Thus doing away with sprawling Council estates	Paragraph 2.3 uses the national definition of affordability and mixed communities. PPS3 is a policy statement on housing published by the Government. It defines affordable housing as including social-rented and intermediate housing (para 2.7, PPS3). Paragraph 20 of PPS3 states that the key characteristics of a mixed community are a variety of housing in terms of tenure and price and a mix of different households, such as families with children, single person households and older people.	No Change
06	Para 2.7	Respondent notes that in the recreation research report for ward results there are no finding on allotments, are these to be added in later?	Information is available on the current amount of allotments. A detailed standard has however not been derived, because of an information gap regarding demand for allotments. Further study on this matter is needed and will be included in a subsequent document (see para 3.3 in the draft SPD).	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: **46 Linda Henstock** **Director Rykneld, Fed member group chair**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
07	Para 3.3 and 3.4	Won't this be hard to achieve, land is not always available where it is needed?	The local recreation standard is partly based on what North East Derbyshire residents would like in terms of recreation facilities and open space. Through the planning system, funding bids and other mechanisms, the Council aims to provide for people's need. It is however acknowledged that some aspects are more difficult to achieve because of land availability issues.	No Change
08	Flowchart 1, step 1	What constitutes a walking distance of a catchment area?	Paragraph 3.4 of the draft SPD sets out the maximum walking distance catchment area. This was derived from the local needs assessment carried out regarding recreation and open space.	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: **46** **Linda** **Henstock** **Director Rykneld, Fed member group chair**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
09	Flowchart 2	Who will define this? Respondent understands document, but believes the hardest and most required element (youth facilities) will be very hard to achieve. Failures before ie. youth clubs, scouts, etc have had their own sort of problems. Sometimes these problems are of some of the youth's own making. Anti Social Behaviour, damage to property, graffiti, lack of respect for volunteers who try to help them. Respondent agrees that this is not the case with the majority of our youth, but the few can spoil it for the rest. Answers need to be found on this, but what these are the respondent does not know.	The SPD aims to provide outdoor youth facilities (where they are needed) for young people to 'hang out'. The SPD cannot moderate all young people's behaviour, but can use good design principles for youth facilities to reduce anti social behaviour instances. For example paragraph 3.55 suggests the provision of a graffiti wall.	No Change
10	Appendix 2	Respondent understands the reasons for the SPD, but questions whether this will not increase the cost of buying / renting properties. Affordability is the watch word.	The respondents concern is acknowledged. It is most likely that the costs set out in Appendix 2 will be absorbed by lowering the land value, rather than increasing the sale / rent costs. Additionally the need for affordable homes must be balanced against the need to provide open space opportunities for recreation, all of which contribute to the quality of life.	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: **46** **Linda** **Henstock** **Director Rykneld, Fed member group chair**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
11	Entire document	Let's hope that this can be made to work because it will go a long way to improving the well being of all of NEDDC. Hopefully by giving youth something to do will lead to people feeling safer in their own homes and communities. Respondent agrees with the documents whole heartedly	Support Noted.	No Change

Respondent: **47** **Reuben** **Brown** **Derbyshire County Council - Highways**

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
13	Entire document	Respondent thanks the Council for sending the information relating to the SPD and has no comments to make.	Noted	No Change

Representations to consultation on the Draft Recreation and Open Space SPD

Respondent: 48 Trevor Taylor

<i>Representation number:</i>	<i>Relating to Section:</i>	<i>Summary representation:</i>	<i>Officer response:</i>	<i>Recommendation:</i>
14	Entire document	In general the draft SPD is well set-out document with just the correct level of detail. Very easy to read and understand. Mention should be given to the various reports used in preparation of the document.	Including a publications list would benefit the SPD.	Add a new Appendix to include a publications list of guidance documents used to inform the Supplementary Planning Document.
15	Section 7	The attention to and regard given to the presence of biodiversity at a site is welcomed and its protection and incorporation within the design is fully supported.	Support Noted	No Change
16	Para 7.17 to 7.19	While some attention is given to species diversity with tree and shrub planting and the possible creation of water bodies, more emphasis should be placed on the opportunity to achieve biodiversity gain within design by the creation of new biodiversity features ie water bodies, bat boxes, bat bricks, swift boxes, house-sparrow terraces, wildflower meadows, not just the enhancement of existing biodiversity.	Agree, applicants should be encouraged to provide new biodiversity features.	Para 7.17: add after 5th sentence : "Applicants are encouraged to provide new biodiversity features such bat boxes, bat bricks and swift houses.

Late representations by Tony Aitchison - Sport England

Section: *Chart 1, step 4 and chart 2, step 2a, 2b and 4a*

summary of representation

The policies are unclear about the occasions when a contribution to one facility is appropriate rather than to two or more. The process is unclear if several facilities need investment

Officer response

The SPD aims to focus the developer contributions to the facility of the greatest need or in poorest condition. For on-site facilities, a mixture of facilities might however be acceptable. This will be subject to negotiations with the Council. The explanation to all the steps taken in the flowcharts set out how the greatest need and facility of poorest quality will be identified. For example chart 1, step 4 states that the facility of poorest condition should be enhanced through financial contributions. The poorest condition should be established in cooperation with the Council's Ground Maintenance Team. It is considered that this is clear as to which facility should get the financial contributions, if there are several that need investment.

Recommendation

No Change

Late representations by Tony Aitchison - Sport England

Section: *Entire document*

summary of representation

In conclusion the respondent encourages the Council to provide more detailed guidance for those situations where several facilities could be improved and that the Council should consider developing a full set of policies to cover both indoor and outdoor sport and recreation.

Officer response

The SPD aims to focus the developer contributions to the facility in poorest condition. The explanation to all the steps taken in the flowcharts set out how the facility of poorest quality will be identified. For example, chart 1, step 4 states that the facility of poorest condition should be enhanced through financial contributions. The poorest condition should be established in cooperation with the Council's Ground Maintenance Team. It is considered that this is clear as to which facility should get the financial contributions, if there are several that need investment.

In relation to the respondents comment about developing policies to cover both indoor and outdoor sport and recreation, the Local Plan includes policies for both types of recreation. The SPD supplements the policies regarding outdoor recreation. However, no further up to date research has been carried out regarding indoor sport facilities and the development of an SPD on indoor recreation facilities has not been identified as a priority. If future work on the Council's Cultural Strategy identifies a need for an indoor recreation SPD, it will be considered for inclusion in the Local Development Scheme.

Recommendation

No Change

Late representations by Tony Aitchison - Sport England

Section: *p 27, Pre-production statement*

summary of representation

Respondent is disappointed that there are no plans to prepare a sports facility strategy so that the Council has a comprehensive set of policies to deal with both outdoor and indoor sport activity.

Officer response

The Council is currently considering the production of a Cultural Strategy, which will include a sports facility strategy.

Recommendation

No Change

Section: *p 4, Summary of ward results*

summary of representation

PPG17 and its companion volume advise that for many sporting purposes parish areas may not be the most appropriate spatial area. Provision in one parish can benefit residents in adjacent parishes.

The quantified need (stage H) seems to be generated by adding three different measures which use different units of measurement. This methodology could be of doubtful value when comparing relative 'scores'.

Officer response

It is acknowledged that provision in one ward can benefit residents in the adjacent ward, but only where they are in walking distance. Even within a ward, walking distances cannot be assured. Identifying larger areas would not solve this accessibility problem. Wards were chosen because of the population data from the Census 2001 and the fact that the local needs assessment, which was carried out through the Citizens Panel, is analysed in terms of wards.

It is also acknowledged that column H adds three different measurement units. This is only done to show for which facility the need is greatest. The figures will not be used for anything else. If the respondent has any advice on how to calculate the need in a better way for future research, this would be greatly appreciated.

Recommendation

No Change

Late representations by Tony Aitchison - Sport England

Section: *para 4.3, first bullet point*

summary of representation

The assessment in the Research Report refers to the overall area but does not include information re pitches. PPG17 stresses that if land is surplus to one kind of sport/recreation need, consideration should be given to its ability to satisfy other deficiencies (para 12, 13). The policy should reflect this advice. The Local Authority will be best placed to make such an assessment if it has a strategy for playing pitches and indoor facilities as well as general open space.

Officer response

The Recreation Research Report differentiates between the types of recreation facilities, such as children's play spaces and outdoor sport facilities. However, paragraph 4.3, first bullet point would be clearer if it referred to 'types of facilities', rather than 'facilities'. The Recreation Research Report did not differentiate between different facilities within a type. Furthermore, paragraph 4.3 of the SPD indicates that when a surplus can be proven, a loss of the land may be acceptable to any other use (subject to other planning policies). As the SPD supplements the policies in the Local Plan, it cannot change these policies to give priority to other recreational uses above another use, in case of a loss. In relation to the sports facilities strategy, this strategy was published in 2003 and therefore out of date. The Council is currently considering the production of a Cultural Strategy, which will include a sports facility strategy.

Recommendation

Para 4.3, first bullet point, last sentence: include: 'a certain type of'

Section: *para 4.7*

summary of representation

As above if an allotment is surplus to needs, use for other recreational purposes should be assessed.

Officer response

As above, paragraph 4.7 of the SPD indicates that when a surplus can be proven, a loss of the land may be acceptable to any other use (subject to other planning policies). As the SPD supplements the policies in the Local Plan, it cannot change these policies to give priority to other recreational uses above another use, in case of a loss.

Recommendation

No Change

Late representations by Tony Aitchison - Sport England

Section: *para 5.2*

summary of representation

As above re use of wards as the spatial unit

Officer response

As above, it is acknowledged that provision in one ward can benefit residents in the adjacent ward, but only where they are in walking distance. Even within a ward, walking distances cannot be assured. Identifying larger areas would not solve this accessibility problem. Wards were chosen because of the population data from the Census 2001 and the fact that the local needs assessment, which was carried out through the Citizens Panel, is analysed in terms of wards.

Recommendation

No Change

Section: *para 5.9, step 2*

summary of representation

Sport England has a comprehensive database on its website - Active Places - which provides information about ideal sport and recreation facilities. Local Authorities can sign up and use active places information which supports analysis.

Officer response

North East Derbyshire District Council's leisure service is signed up to the Active Places database. Because the Councils Grounds Maintenance Team visit the sites on a regular basis for maintenance, they hold up to date information on the quality of sites and can therefore best advise applicants.

Recommendation

No Change

Late representations by Tony Aitchison - Sport England

Section: *para 6.3*

summary of representation

References to employment and education are welcomed but para 6.3 is rather generalised and would benefit from more specific guidance / policies.

Officer response

Specific guidance cannot be provided at this point of time, because no background research was carried out on the need for recreation facilities for employees and schoolchildren / students to inform the SPD. There is also no best practice guidance on how to carry out this research. More work is needed on this issue in the future.

Recommendation

No Change

Section: *para 7.19 onwards*

summary of representation

Reference should be made to guidance from Sport England on the design / layout and treatment of sport and recreation facilities on our website and from sports national governing bodies

Officer response

Publications by Sport England have been studied and relevant ideas included in section 7 of the SPD. Including a publications list would however benefit the SPD.

Recommendation

Add a new Appendix to include a publications list of guidance documents used to inform the Supplementary Planning Document.